Mona Doorsian

Some people believe that it is good to share as much information as possible in scientific research, business and the academic world. Others believe that some information is too valuable to be shared freely.

Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.

According to some people's points of view all of the scientific research and papers should be shared at in the academic world in free, but the others think that people should only have access to worthy vital data. I believe that much more information can be caused more success.

Some people claim that if they access to all research based information, they can be more successful. For example, you imagine a student who wants to work on her research project at school on human health and nutrition; she needs to read some observational, clinical, evidence based and even cohort studies to do her research project. So, if she accesses to all kinds of those papers and data then she can improve herself and maybe approach to new information. Therefore, in that way all of the students can benefit from each other's findings without any restrictions.

However, some other people mentioned that just some data which is really worth it should be shared freely. They think that some unnecessary information can confuse readers or researchers and mislead them in their way. For instance, when post graduate students try to work on their thesis project they only require significant and fundamental data. Thus, they can find their necessary papers quickly. Importantly, it can be useful for the target groups because most of them are students and try to save their money due to lack of enough budget. Thus, they can access to important information quickly and freely.

In summary, on the basis of the points mentioned above, it would seem that both views have reasonable aspects and the outcomes will depend on a number of factors. I tend to consider that all of the papers and data should be freely accessible to all readers in order to improve themselves.